

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 3642-9 Filed 02/27/15 Page 2 of 27

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page1 of 26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
Plaintiff,)	W. GD 00 0047	
110)	No. CR-09-0247 PAGES 1-25	
VS.)		
HITACHI DISPLAYS LTD.,)	SAN FRANCISCO,	California
Defendant.)	FRIDAY MAY 22, 2009 11:00 A.M.	
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
APPEARANCES:			
FOR THE PLAINTIFF: OFFICE OF UNITED			
	ATTORNEY 450 GOLDEN GATE AVE.		
	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102 (415)436-6660		
	(413)430-6660 BY: David Ward		
FOR THE DEFENDANT: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS			
	ONE MARKET, SPEAR STREET TOWER SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA		
	94105 (415)442-1309		
BY: John Hemann			
FURTHER APPEARANCES ON NEXT PAGE.			
REPORTED BY: SARAH L. GOEKLER, CSR NO. 13446 Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography, transcript prepared on ProCat System by Sarah L. Goekler.			

```
1
    Friday, May 22, 2009
                                            11:00 a.m.
2
                         PROCEEDINGS
3
               THE CLERK: Calling criminal 09-247 United States
 4
    versus Hitachi Displays.
5
              MR. WARD: Good morning, Your Honor. David Ward and
    Lynch for the United States.
6
7
              THE COURT: Good morning.
              MR. HEMANN: Good morning, Your Honor. John Hemann,
8
9
    Morgan Lewis on behalf of Hitachi Displays. And with me is
10
    Kazuo Hatanaka from the company, and Ellen Travis, the
11
    translator, who has been sworn.
12
               THE COURT: Mr. Ward, it's my understanding that the
13
    Government has agreed that the interpreter may perform the
    interpretation services this morning.
14
15
              MR. WARD: That's correct, Your Honor. We have no
16
    objections to defendant's interpreter.
17
               THE COURT: Likewise, the defense has proffered
18
    your --
19
              MR. HEMANN: Yes, Your Honor --
              THE COURT: -- correct? Okay. Mr. Hatanaka, I
2.0
21
    understand from your lawyers that you would like to change the
22
    plea on behalf of Hitachi Displays Ltd. this morning; is that
23
    correct, sir?
24
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): That's
25
    correct.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: All right, sir. And before we do that, I
 2
     need to ask you some questions. And before you answer my
 3
     questions, you need to swear to tell the truth.
 4
               So, Tracy, would you swear Mr. Hatanaka, please.
 5
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I do.
 6
               (Whereupon, the defendant was sworn.)
 7
               THE COURT: Thank you, sir. How old are you, sir.
 8
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Sixty-one
 9
     years old.
10
               THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
11
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): I graduated
12
     from a university.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Do you understand my
14
     questions with the help of the interpreter?
15
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Somewhat.
               THE INTERPRETER: Because English is his second
16
17
     language, he just understands a little.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. What I'm interested in is with the
19
     help of the interpreter, do you understand everything I am
2.0
     saying?
21
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I do.
22
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23
               And if at any point my questions are confusing,
24
     please tell me.
25
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): I
```

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page4 of 26

```
1
     understand.
 2
               THE COURT: All right. Have you had any drugs or
 3
    medicine or pills or drunk any alcoholic beverages in the last
     24 hours?
 4
 5
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): I had a
     little bit of wine at dinner last night.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Are you clear-headed this morning?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I'm
 9
     fine, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Thank you. Does either counsel have any
11
    reason to question Mr. Hatanaka's competence to enter this
12
    plea?
1.3
               MR. HEMANN: No, Your Honor.
14
               MR. WARD: No, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: All right.
16
               Mr. Hemann, you have provided me and Mr. Ward with a
17
     resolution of the Board of Directors of Hitachi Displays Ltd.
              MR. HEMANN: Yes, Your Honor. It's attached to the
18
19
    plea agreement.
20
               THE CLERK: Do you have the original plea agreement?
21
               MR. WARD: I have the original plea agreement.
22
               THE CLERK:
                          Thank you.
23
               THE COURT: And it reflects that the board has
24
     authorized Mr. Hatanaka to speak for it in court here today and
25
     to enter into the plea agreement on behalf of the corporation
```

```
1
    today; is that right?
 2
               MR. HEMANN: That's correct, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: And, Mr. Hatanaka, is it correct that you
    have been authorized by the Board of Hitachi Displays Ltd. to
 4
 5
    act for it here in court this morning?
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): That is
 6
 7
     correct.
 8
               THE COURT: So when I ask the following questions,
     I'm asking you the question, but it really relates to the
 9
10
     company, Hitachi Displays Ltd.
11
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes.
12
               THE COURT: Have you had enough time -- well, do you
1.3
    understand that criminal defendants have the right to a lawyer
14
     at all stages of the proceedings, including trial, if they wish
15
     to go to trial?
16
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
17
     understand that.
18
               THE COURT: And have you had much time to talk to
19
     your lawyers about the charges that are pending against you
    here?
20
21
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes. Yes,
22
     I have had enough time.
23
               THE COURT: And have your lawyers explained what the
    Government -- what the United States Government would have to
24
    prove before you could be convicted of these charges?
25
```

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page6 of 26

```
THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes.
1
2
              THE COURT: All right. Are you satisfied with your
     lawyer's representation of you?
3
              THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I am
 4
    satisfied.
5
6
               THE COURT: All right.
 7
              Mr. Ward, can you summarize for me and Mr. Hatanaka
     the elements of the offense to which he's proposing the plea
8
9
    agreement.
10
              MR. WARD: Yes, Your Honor.
              Hitachi Displays is charged by information with one
11
12
    count for violating Section 1 of the Sherman Act. That's 15
    U.S.C, Section 1. To establish such a violation, the United
13
14
     States must prove three elements beyond a reasonable doubt.
15
               One, that the defendant entered into a conspiracy.
     Two, that the conspiracy was an unreasonable restraint of
16
17
    trade. And then, three, that the conspiracy affected
     interstate commerce in the United States.
18
19
               THE COURT: Do you understand those are the elements
20
     of this offense, sir?
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
21
22
    understand.
23
               THE COURT: And do you understand that the -- what is
     the maximum sentence for this offense to which the corporation
24
25
     might be subjected to?
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

```
MR. WARD: Your Honor -- I'm sorry. Your Honor, the
    maximum penalty for each violation of Section 1 of the Sherman
    Act is, first, a fine in an amount equal to the greatest of
    $10 million or twice the gain or twice the loss to the victims
    of the crime.
               Two, there's restitution to the victims of the
    offense.
               Three, is a $400 special assessment.
               And then, four, is a term of probation of at least
    one year, but not more than five years.
               THE COURT: Do you understand those are the maximum
12
    punishments available to which you may be subject in this case?
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
    understand.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that under
    the Constitution and laws of the United States, the defendant
    has the right to plead not guilty to these charges. And if it
    pleads not quilty, it will be presumed innocent unless and
    until the Government proves, beyond a reasonable doubt, that
    it's guilty of every element of this offense beyond a
     reasonable doubt; do you understand that?
22
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
23
    understand that.
               THE COURT: And the defendant has a right to a jury
24
25
    trial, and it could not be convicted at a jury trial unless all
```

```
1
     12 members of the jury find that the defendant is guilty of
     every element of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt; do you
 2
 3
     understand that?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
     understand that.
 5
               THE COURT: It would be up to the Government to call
 6
 7
     witnesses and put on evidence to prove every element of this
     offense; do you understand that?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
 9
     understand that.
10
               THE COURT: Your lawyer would have the right to
11
12
     cross-examine the Government's witnesses and challenge the
     Government's evidence; do you understand that?
13
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
14
15
     understand that.
               THE COURT: And your lawyer would have the right to
16
     call witnesses to testify on behalf of the defendant to explain
17
     its viewpoint of the evidence; do you understand that?
18
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
19
     understand that.
20
               THE COURT: And your lawyer could challenge and
21
     cross-examine the Government's witnesses; do you understand
22
2.3
     that?
24
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
25
     understand that.
```

```
THE COURT: And if you plead quilty today on behalf
1
    of the company, it gives up all these trial rights that we're
2
    talking about, the right to trial by jury, the right to
3
    confront the witnesses against it, and it will be found guilty
 4
5
    based on your admission here in open court that the company is
    quilty; do you understand that?
6
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
 7
8
    understand that.
               THE COURT: And if you plead guilty on its behalf
9
10
     today, it will give up the right to appeal from the finding
11
     that it's quilty; do you understand that?
12
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
13
     understand that.
14
               THE COURT: And the company will also give up the
15
    right to appeal from anything else the Court may have done and
16
     to appeal from the sentence as long as I sentence you in
     accordance with this plea agreement; do you understand that?
17
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I
18
19
     understand that.
2.0
               THE COURT: Has anybody threatened you or forced you,
     or threatened or forced the company to enter this plea today?
21
22
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): No, that
2.3
     did not happen.
               THE COURT: All right. Did you and the other
24
     representatives of the company have enough time to talk to the
25
```

```
lawyers about how your sentence might be computed in this case?
 1
 2
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I was
 3
     able to.
               THE COURT: All right. I understand that there has
 4
 5
     been -- well, I'm holding the document called "plea agreement."
     On page 14 of this document, there is a signature line for --
 6
 7
               MR. HEMANN: Yoshiyuki Imoto, who's the CEO of
 8
     Hitachi Displays, and he's authorized by the Board of
 9
     Resolution to sign the plea agreement.
10
               THE COURT: Who is?
               MR. HEMANN: Mr. Imoto.
11
12
               THE COURT: But he's not here.
13
               MR. HEMANN: No. There's a two-part resolution.
14
     Mr. Imoto is authorized to sign and -- oh, thank you, very
15
     much -- and Mr. Hatanaka is authorized to enter the plea on
16
     behalf of the company.
17
               THE COURT: Is that agreeable with the Government?
18
               MR. WARD: It is, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Well, I quess -- what I wonder is how do
20
     I know Mr. Imoto signed it?
21
               MR. HEMANN: He recognizes his signature, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. Do you recognize the signature of
23
     Mr. Imoto, the chief executive officer and president of Hitachi
     Displays Ltd?
24
25
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes.
```

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page11 of 26

1 THE COURT: And does that appear to you to be his 2 signature? THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): It is 3 exactly his signature. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hemann, can you summarize for me and for 6 7 Mr. Hatanaka the general terms of this plea agreement? MR. HEMANN: Yes, Your Honor. Under the plea 8 agreements, Hitachi Displays is going to enter a plea of guilty 9 10 to a one-count information, charging the company with 11 participating in a conspiracy to suppress and eliminate 12 competition by fixing the prices of TFT-LCD panels for sale to Dell Corporation for use in PCP notebook computers for a period 13 14 from about April 1st, 2001 to March 31st, 2004 in violation of 15 Section 1 of the Sherman Act. 16 Also, in the plea agreement, Hitachi Displays is 17 agreeing to pay a single fine of \$31 million and a special assessment of \$400. The company is not, with the agreement of 18 19 the Government, not agreeing to a term of probation or 2.0 restitution, given the pendency of the civil class action before Your Honor. 21 22 The United States, under the plea agreement, has 23 agreed that if Hitachi Displays continues to cooperate with its investigation into the TFT-LCD industry, no other Hitachi 24 entity, subsidiary, affiliate will be prosecuted or any Hitachi 25

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page12 of 26

```
1
     employees other than the two individuals whose names are
 2
     reflected in the plea agreement. Those are the material terms.
 3
               THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Ward?
              MR. WARD: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: And, Mr. Hatanaka, is that your
 6
     understanding of what this plea agreement provides?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, it is
 8
    my understanding.
 9
               THE COURT: Where would I find the names that you
10
     referred to, Mr. Hemann?
              MR. HEMANN: Your Honor, if you look -- and I believe
11
     it's paragraph 13 of plea agreement. Let me just check to make
12
13
     sure.
              MR. WARD: 13(b), Your Honor, on page eight of the
14
15
     plea agreement.
               MR. HEMANN: Correct. The two employees, Your Honor,
16
17
     Mr. Wakabayashi and Mr. Someya.
               THE COURT: Well, where's the place where it says --
18
               MR. HEMANN: It's actually paragraph 15. Paragraph
19
20
     14 is the first place that the names appear. In paragraph 15
     of the plea agreement, sets forth the Government's promise that
21
     assuming cooperation by the company and all of the other
22
23
     employees of the company, only Mr. Wakabayashi and Mr. Someya
24
     may be prosecuted.
25
               MR. WARD: Page ten of the plea agreement.
```

1 THE COURT: Okav. MR. HEMANN: Actually, Your Honor, to be completely 2 3 accurate, paragraph 15 refers to no further prosecution of the 4 company. Paragraph 16(a) refers to no further prosecution to 5 any other individual by Mr. Wakabayashi and Mr. Someya. 6 THE COURT: All right. And the agreement is under 7 Rule (C)(1)(C), meaning that as long as I sentence him in 8 accordance with it, then nobody can change its mind; correct? 9 MR. WARD: That's --10 MR. HEMANN: That's correct, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ward, can you summarize for me 12 and Mr. Hatanaka what the Government would prove if this matter 13 went to trial? 14 MR. WARD: Yes, Your Honor. Had this case gone to 15 trial, the United States would have presented evidence 16 sufficient to prove the following facts: 17 For the purposes of this plea agreement the relevant 18 period is that period from on or about April 1st, 2001 to on or 19 about March 31st, 2004. During the relevant period, Hitachi Displays Ltd. was a corporation. It was organized and existing 20 21 under the laws of Japan. 22 Prior to 2002, the defendant was a division of 23 Hitachi Ltd. The defendant has its headquarters and principal 24 place of business in Chiba, Japan. And during the relevant 25 period, the defendant was a producer of TFT-LCD, which refers

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page14 of 26

2.5

to thin-film transistor liquid crystal display, was engaged in the sale of TFT-LCD in the United States and elsewhere and employed between 1,000 and 5,000 individuals.

TFT-LCD are glass panels composed of an array of tiny pixels that are electronically manipulated in order to display images. TFT-LCD are manufactured in a broad range of sizes and specifications for use in televisions, notebook computers, desktop monitors, and mobile devices and other applications.

From on or about April 1st, 2001 to on or about March 31st, 2004, the defendant, through its officers and employees, participated in a conspiracy with other major TFT-LCD producers. The primary purpose of which was to fix the price of TFT-LCD sold to Dell for use in notebook computers.

In furtherance of the conspiracy, the defendant, through its officers and employees, engaged in telephone discussions and attended bilateral meetings with representatives of other major TFT-LCD producers. During these discussions and meetings, agreements were reached to fix the price of TFT-LCD sold to Dell for use in notebook computers.

During the relevant period, TFT-LCD sold by one or more of the conspirator firms and the equipment and supplies necessary to the production and distribution of TFT-LCD, as well as payments for TFT-LCD, traveled in interstate and foreign trade and commerce.

The business activities of the defendant and its

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page15 of 26

```
1
     coconspirators in connection with the production and sale of
 2
     TFT-LCD, affected by this conspiracy, were within the flow of
 3
     and substantially affected interstate and foreign trade and
 4
     commerce.
 5
               Finally, acts in furtherance of this conspiracy were
 6
     carried out within the Northern District of California.
 7
     TFT-LCD affected by this conspiracy were either sold by one or
 8
     more of the conspirators to customers in this district.
 9
               THE COURT: Mr. Hemann, do you agree the Government
10
     is in a position to put on such a case?
11
               MR. HEMANN: Yes, with emphasis, Your Honor, on the
12
     fact that the plea is limited to the sale of TFT-LCDs to Dell
13
     for use in PC notebook computers. As Mr. Ward articulated, we
14
     don't -- we believe that he's in a position to put that case
15
     on.
16
               THE COURT: I was going to ask you about that,
17
     Mr. Ward. Did Hitachi Displays sell to other companies, as
18
     well?
19
               MR. WARD: Your Honor, Hitachi Displays sold TFT to
     numerous companies. Our evidence only indicated -- and we
20
21
     would only be able to prove a conspiracy to sell to Dell Inc.
22
               THE COURT: Well, they conspired with other
23
     companies, did they?
24
               MR. WARD: I'm not saying they conspired -- they
25
     conspired with other companies to fix the price of TFT-LCD sold
```

1 to Dell. 2 They also sold TFT-LCD panels to other manufacturers, 3 but the Government does not have evidence to prove a conspiracy 4 to fix prices of TFT sold to any other customers other than 5 Dell. We would not be able to meet the proof -- specifically, 6 the jurisdictional burden to prove a case against other 7 purchases of TFT-LCD. 8 THE COURT: To which is the jurisdictional burden to 9 which you refer? 10 MR. WARD: Most of the activity, to our knowledge, 11 the defendant engaged in for sale to other customers occurred outside of the United States. 12 13 **THE COURT:** Most of the activity? 14 MR. WARD: Most of the sales, the sales force, the 15 production, the shipment occurred outside of the United States. 16 THE COURT: Because I have taken other pleas in these 17 related cases which have involved conspiracy to fix the price of the same product, and those have not been limited to Dell. 18 19 MR. WARD: That's correct, Your Honor. In other 20 cases, we had evidence to prove -- for example, in the Sharp 21 plea that there were sales to Motorola and Apple to which this 22 defendant did not sell. The conspiracy to which LG pled to and CPT pled to was a related, but separate, conspiracy that did 2.3 24 not involve this defendant. 25 Our evidence showed that this defendant was involved

1 in a conspiracy to fix the prices to Dell only. 2 MR. HEMANN: Your Honor, the plea in this case is 3 similar to the plea in the Sharp case that the Court accepted, 4 except that this case involves a single customer rather than 5 three individual customers. Sharp didn't plead to a larger, 6 industry-wide conspiracy, either. 7 It was three specific customers, one of which was 8 Dell. They had two others. We're just agreeing to plead to a 9 conspiracy related to sales for PC notebooks to Dell. So it's 10 structured very close to the plea that Sharp entered into that 11 the Court accepted. Just one customer rather than three 12 customers. 13 THE COURT: I understand what you're saying. It's 14 not giving me a lot of comfort. I'm still trying to 15 understand, overall, what this means. 16 So are you suggesting that your proof is developing a 17 situation where there were many separate little conspiracies? 18 MR. WARD: Yes, Your Honor. We believe there was a 19 larger conspiracy involving LG and CPT and others to fix the 20 price of TFT-LCD sold to many manufacturers. The Government 21 does not believe that either Hitachi or Sharp were involved in 22 that conspiracy. We believe that Hitachi, Sharp, and other 23 unnamed coconspirators were involved in a separate conspiracy 24 amongst themselves to fix the price of TFT-LCD sold only to 25 Dell. So they're related conspiracies, but they're not the

```
1
     same conspiracies.
 2
               THE COURT: All right. Have you heard all these
 3
     things that the lawyers have been saying, Mr. Hatanaka?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, I've
 5
     been listening.
 6
               THE COURT: And do you agree that the things that
 7
     Mr. Ward said that Hitachi Displays has done that those things
     are true?
 8
 9
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes, that
     is true.
10
11
               THE COURT: All right. Please tell me in your own
12
     words what Hitachi Displays Ltd. did that makes it quilty of
13
     this offense.
14
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Yes. As
15
     set forth in paragraph four of the plea agreement, Hitachi
16
     Displays participated in a conspiracy involving the specified
17
     sales of TFT-LCD panels to Dell during the limited time frame
18
     specified.
19
               THE COURT: And what was the point of the conspiracy,
20
     the purpose?
21
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): It was to
22
     fix the prices for the TFT-LCD panels for PC notebook computers
23
     sold to Dell Corporation.
24
               THE COURT: And I apologize. I should have asked
25
     this earlier. What -- Mr. Hatanaka, what is your title or
```

```
1
     position at Hitachi Ltd?
 2
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): Currently,
 3
     I am the Executive Managing Director.
 4
               THE COURT: And were you the Executive Managing
 5
     Director during this period from April 1st, 2001 to March 31st,
     2004?
 6
 7
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): No, at that
     time I was at the Hitachi headquarters. I used to be the audit
 8
 9
     manager at the Hitachi headquarters.
10
               THE COURT: When did you come over to Hitachi Ltd --
11
     I mean, Hitachi Displays?
12
               THE DEFENDANT (through the interpreter): In April of
1.3
     2004.
14
               THE COURT: So he wasn't there when this was
15
     happening. So how does he know it happened?
16
               MR. HEMANN: He's just been -- the Board of Directors
17
     of the company, based on advice from legal counsel, both inside
18
     and outside the company, reached a conclusion that the evidence
19
     that the facts alleged by the Government and admitted by
2.0
     Hitachi occurred. Mr. Hatanaka has simply been authorized by
21
     the board to enter the plea on behalf of the company based on
22
     the Board of Directors' conclusion as to what occurred.
23
               THE COURT: And that's all agreeable to the
24
     Government?
25
               MR. WARD: It is, Your Honor.
```

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page20 of 26

```
1
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, I find that the plea is
 2
     being voluntarily entered into, that there's a factual basis
     for it, and that Hitachi is, in fact, quilty of -- Hitachi
 3
 4
     Displays Ltd. is guilty of the offense charged in the
 5
     information. So I'll accept the plea.
 6
               MR. HEMANN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: With respect to the plea agreement,
 8
     you've likewise requested that the sentence -- we'd proceed to
 9
     sentencing at this time; right?
10
               MR. HEMANN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
11
               MR. WARD: Yes, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: And have you, Mr. Hemann, explained to
13
     Mr. Hatanaka that -- and to the company that ordinarily we
14
     would do this in two steps. There would be a plea. There
15
     would be time for a report to be prepared, and then we would
16
     come back some weeks or months later and do the judgment and
17
     sentencing.
18
               You've explained that?
               MR. HEMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
19
20
               THE COURT: And you've explained to him that you're
21
     asking me to do this on a somewhat truncated record and do it
22
     today; is that right?
23
               MR. HEMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: And have you explained to him that I'll
25
     only do it if I feel there's enough evidence in the materials
```

```
1
     supplied to me to make an intelligent decision?
 2
               MR. HEMANN: Yes, I have, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: And they want me to go ahead; right?
               MR. HEMANN: The company would like you to go ahead.
 4
 5
     Yes, Your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: I have reviewed the plea agreement.
 7
     have reviewed the joint sentencing memo with request for
 8
     expedited sentence under Local Rule 32-1(b), and the
 9
     Government's motion for a downward departure, and I do find
10
     that that's enough information to make an informed sentencing
11
     decision. The quideline calculation would be as follows:
               The base fine is 20 percent of affected commerce
12
13
     under quidelines (2R1.1(d)(1)) and (8C2.4(b)). 20 percent of
14
     the stipulated $130 million of affected commerce would be
     $26 million.
15
               The culpability score is computed thusly. The base
16
17
     is computed under (8C2.5(a)), and that's five. Under
18
     (8C2.5(b)(1)), based on involvement in or tolerance of criminal
19
     activity, the score is raised by four points. Under (8C2.5(c))
2.0
     prior history. There's zero-points. (8C2.5(d)) violation of
21
     court orders. There's zero-points. (8C2.5(e)) obstruction of
22
     justice.
              There's zero-points. (8C2.5(F)) effective program to
     prevent and detect violations of law. There's zero-points.
23
24
     However, for (8C2.5(q)(2)) self-reporting, cooperation, and
     acceptance of responsibility, the score is reduced by two
25
```

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page22 of 26

1 points, generating a total culpability score of seven. 2 The maximum and minimum multipliers under (8C2.6) are 3 a maximum of 2.8 and a minimum of 1.4. The minimum and maximum 4 fines under (8C2.7) would be a minimum fine of \$36.4 million 5 and a maximum fine of \$72.8 million. 6 The Government has moved under (8C4.1) to depart 7 downward to a \$31 million based on Hitachi Displays' 8 substantial assistance in the TFT-LCD investigation. It 9 produced documents here and abroad, and it provided employees 1.0 for interviews and has agreed to continue the assistance. And 11 based upon that, it's the joint -- it's the motion of the 12 Government and joined in by the defendant that I depart 13 downward to the suggested fine of \$31 million and that there be 14 no probation and no restitution. 15 And the reason for no restitution is what, Mr. Ward? 16 MR. WARD: We believe that the victims and the 17 plaintiffs in the class action suit are well-situated to recoup 18 restitution in that case -- in this case. Excuse me. 19 THE COURT: And is there any limitation imposed by the Government in Hitachi Displays' cooperation or provision of 20 21 information to the parties in the civil actions? 22 MR. WARD: There is not, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. And is it your understanding that 24 they will continue -- that they will cooperate with the parties 25 in the civil litigation; is that your understanding?

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page23 of 26

```
1
               MR. WARD: The Government's understanding is that
 2
     they are in discussions with the plaintiffs. The Government --
 3
               THE COURT: I don't mean settling, but I mean that
 4
     they will -- they will conform to their discovery only in that
 5
     case --
 6
               MR. WARD: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: -- in those cases. And in any event, the
 8
     criminal proceedings don't compare in any way with Hitachi
 9
     Displays' ability to participate fully in the discovery process
10
     if the civil litigation --
11
              MR. WARD: They do not, Your Honor.
12
              MR. HEMANN: Your Honor, with the caveat that the
13
     criminal cases against some of the individual Hitachi employees
14
    might have an impact on their decisions to take the Fifth if --
     in the event of a deposition.
15
16
               THE COURT: That being the two named individuals in
17
     the plea?
18
               MR. HEMANN: That being -- I suspect that the two
19
     named individuals, if they were -- if their depositions were
20
     noticed, might take the Fifth. I don't have any reason to
21
    believe that others will.
22
               THE COURT: That's my concern is whether there's
23
     going to be some impairment of the ability to get information
24
    based on that.
25
              MR. HEMANN: Numerous of them are represented by
```

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page24 of 26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
individual counsel. And that, obviously, is a decision they'll
have to make with -- in consultation of individual counsel.
The company is not in a position and won't be advising people
to take the Fifth. If that occurs, obviously, they will have
advice of independent counsel.
                     Okay. Well --
          THE COURT:
          MR. HEMANN: So in short answer, nothing as between
in this agreement or any agreement that we've had with the
United States impedes in any way the discovery -- the normal
civil discovery obligations that the company has.
          THE COURT: And I think that's appropriate since the
restitution, which otherwise might be imposed through this
criminal judgment, is being forgone in light of the pending
civil action cases. It's important that those civil actions be
given any opportunity to proceed.
          MR. HEMANN: And we've been working with Mr. Simon
and Mr. Scarpulla and the plaintiffs on conforming to our
discovery obligations and moving things as far as the civil
cases.
          THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll accept the plea
agreement. I will accept the -- I will impose a sentencing in
accordance with it. I will not impose restitution, and I will
not impose provision.
          In accordance with the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984,
the defendant Hitachi Displays Ltd. is hereby ordered to pay a
```

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page25 of 26

```
1
     fine in the amount of $31 million. The fine is payable in full
 2
    by June 6, 2009. It is further ordered that a special
 3
     assessment of $400 be paid, and that is it.
 4
               Is there anything else?
 5
               THE CLERK: When is the special assessment due by?
               THE COURT: Special assessment is due by June 6,
 6
 7
     2009, as well.
 8
               MR. HEMANN: We actually have a check for $400, Your
 9
    Honor. So we'll get that paid today.
10
               THE CLERK: Are you going to do it today?
               MR. HEMANN: Yeah.
11
12
               THE CLERK: I have a form I need to give you.
13
               THE COURT: Is there anything else, Mr. Ward, that we
14
     need to --
15
               MR. WARD: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
16
               MR. HEMANN: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Thank you.
18
                         (Thereupon, this hearing was concluded.)
19
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case3:09-cr-00247-SI Document12 Filed05/26/09 Page26 of 26

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, SARAH L. GOEKLER, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken in shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time and place therein stated, and that the said proceedings were thereafter reduced to typewriting, by computer, under my direction and supervision;

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties nor in any way interested in the event of this cause, and that I am not related to any of the parties thereto.

DATED: May 22, 2009.

SARAH L. GOEKLER, CSR 13446